Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/462,381	DI SILVESTRO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski.	(3)	*
(2) <u>Martin Bruehs</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>25 June 2004</u>	Time: <u>1400</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	plicant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part i.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		V.
18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment the abstract on a separate sheet of paper	nt that corrected a typo in line 3 clair	m 18. THe examiner also retyped
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all interval. 	The examiner will provide a wrate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	mary by the examiner appears ii	n Part II abovė.
		•
MM	<u> </u>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)